

Rubric for Evaluating Assessment Plans and Reports

Program Name Year

ASSESSMENT PLAN

Mission Statement

A concise statement outlining the purpose of the program, who it serves, in what ways, and with what result.

Exemplary

Acceptable

Developing

- Clear and concise.
- Specific to the unit (identifies what it does that separates it from other units).
- Addresses the larger impact of the program.
- Identifies stakeholders.
- Aligned with the college and division mission and with respective professional organization, if applicable.

- Statement of the program's purpose and who it serves.
- Aligned with the college and division mission statements.
- Scope and reach may be limited.

- General statement of the intent of the program.
- Identifies the functions performed but not the greater purpose.
- Does not identify stakeholders.
- Fails to demonstrate clear alignment with college or division mission.
- Too general to distinguish the unit or too specific to encompass the entire mission.

Notes:

Outcomes/Objectives

Specific statements that articulate the knowledge, skills, and abilities students should gain or improve through engagement in the academic program or learning experience; for administrative units, outcomes describe the desired quality of key services.

Exemplary

Acceptable

Developing

- Observable and measurable.
- Encompass a discipline-specific body of knowledge for academic units (may also include general competencies); focus on the cumulative effect of the program.
- Reasonable number of outcomes identified - enough outcomes to adequately encompass the mission while still being manageable to evaluate and assess.
- Uses action verbs.
- Describe the level of mastery expected, appropriate to degree type (BS/BA, MS, PhD) if applicable.
- Align with college and university goals and with professional organizations, where applicable.
- Accurately classified as "student learning" or "not student learning".
- Associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities, etc.) are identified, where appropriate.

- Observable and measurable.
- Encompass the mission of the program and/or the central principles of the discipline.
- Aligned with program, college, and university mission.
- Appropriate, but language may be vague or need revision.

- Describe a process, rather than an outcome (i.e. language focuses on what the program does, rather than what the student learns).
- Unclear how an evaluator could determine whether the outcome has been met.
- Incomplete - not addressing the breadth of knowledge, skills, or services associated with the program.
- Outcomes identified don't seem important/aligned with the program mission.
- Fails to note appropriate associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities, etc.).

Notes:

Measures

The variety of methods used to evaluate each outcome; the means of gathering data.

Exemplary

- Multiple measures for some or all outcomes.
- Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct.
- Instruments reflect good research methodology.
- Feasible - existing practices used where possible; at least some measures apply to multiple outcomes.
- Purposeful - clear how results could be used for program improvement.
- Described with sufficient detail (documents attached in Document Repository, where appropriate).

Acceptable

- At least 1 measure or measurement approach per outcome.
- Direct and indirect measures are utilized.
- Described with sufficient detail.
- Implementation may still need further planning.

Developing

- Not all outcomes have associated measures.
- Few or no direct measures used.
- Methodology is questionable.
- Instruments are vaguely described; may not be developed yet.
- Course grades used as an assessment method.
- Do not seem to capture the "end of experience" effect of the curriculum/ program.

Notes:

Achievement Targets

Result, target, benchmark, or value that will represent success at achieving a given outcome.

Exemplary

- Aligned with measures and outcomes.
- Represent a reasonable level of success.
- Specific and measurable.
- Meaningful - based on benchmarks, previous results, existing standards.

Acceptable

- Aligned with measures and outcomes.
- Target identified for each measure.
- Specific and measurable.
- Some targets may seem arbitrary.

Developing

- Targets have not been identified for every measure, or are not aligned with the measure.
- Seem off-base (too low/high).
- Language is vague or subjective (e.g. "improve", "satisfactory") making it difficult to tell if met.
- Aligned with assessment *process* rather than results (e.g. survey return rate, number of papers reviewed).

Notes:

General considerations

- Is it likely that this assessment plan will yield information useful for making improvements in the student learning experience and/or the program?
- Are internal and/or external stakeholders (may include students, customers, faculty, staff, administrators, advising boards, employers, etc.) involved in the assessment process?
- Is the plan feasible with current resources and staff?
- Is there a plan for collecting, tabulating, and analyzing assessment results? Who will be responsible for this work and when will it be done?
- Have all elements of the assessment plan been marked as "final" in the software system?

Assessment Plan Comments

ASSESSMENT REPORTS

Findings

A concise summary of the results gathered from a given assessment measure.

Exemplary

- Complete, concise and well-organized.
- Appropriate data collection/analysis.
- Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target.
- Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met.
- Compares new findings to past trends, as appropriate.
- Supporting documentation (rubrics, surveys, more complete reports*, etc.) are included in the document repository.
**Reports must be free of student-identifiable information.*

Acceptable

- Complete and organized.
- Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target.
- Address whether targets were met.
- May contain too much detail or stray slightly from intended data set.

Developing

- Incomplete or too much information.
- Not clearly aligned with achievement targets.
- Questionable conclusion about whether targets were met, partially met, or not met.
- Questionable data collection/analysis; may "gloss over" data to arrive at conclusion.

Notes:

Action Plans

Actions to be taken to improve the program or assessment process based on analysis of results.

Exemplary

- Action plans clearly follow from assessment results and directly state which finding(s) was used to develop the plan.
- Identifies an area that needs to be monitored, remediated, or enhanced and defines logical "next steps."
- Contains completion dates.
- Identifies a responsible person/group.
- Number of action plans are manageable.

Acceptable

- Reflects with sufficient depth on what was learned during the assessment cycle.
- At least one action plan in place.
- Actions plans follow from assessment results.

Developing

- Not clearly related to assessment results.
- Seems to offer excuses for results rather than thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement.
- No action plans or too many to manage.
- Too general; lacking details(e.g. time frame, responsible party).

Notes:

Analysis Questions

Program's answer to:

- Based on the analysis of your findings, what changes are you currently making to improve your program? Identify the specific findings you analyzed and how they led to your decision.
- Provide an update for completed or ongoing action plans from the previous year(s). Highlight your improvements.

Exemplary

Acceptable

Developing

- Demonstrates thorough analysis of findings.
- Elaborates on specific findings used to make program improvements.
- Makes a clear connection between finding(s) and action plan(s).
- Provides thorough status update of previous and/or ongoing action plan(s).

- Completed analysis question.
- Identifies finding(s) used to make program improvements.
- Changes/improvements made to program relate to finding(s).
- Refers to previous and/or ongoing action plan(s).

- Analysis question incomplete, or
- Vague or unclear response to question.
- Failure to identify finding(s) used to make program improvements.
- Does not refer to previous and/or ongoing action plan(s).

Notes:

--

Assessment Report Comments

--