

# Texas A&M University Core Curriculum Critical Thinking Rubric

#### Definition

The Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board states that the Texas Core Curriculum objective of Critical Thinking Skills is "to include creative thinking, innovation, inquiry, and analysis, evaluation and synthesis of information" (19 Tex. Admin. Code §4.28(2021)). Further, the Association of American Colleges & Universities' Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric defines critical thinking as "a habit of the mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion."

#### Framing Language

This rubric is designed to be transdisciplinary, reflecting the recognition that success in all disciplines requires habits of inquiry and analysis that share common attributes. Further, research suggests that successful critical thinkers from all disciplines increasingly need to be able to apply those habits in various and changing situations encountered in all walks of life.

This rubric is designed to be applied to student-produced work (artifacts), from a range of disciplines and a variety of genres. The suggestions here are not an exhaustive list of possibilities. Critical thinking can be demonstrated in assignments that require students to complete analyses of text, data, or issues. If insight into the process components of critical thinking (e.g., how information sources were evaluated regardless of whether they were included in the product) is desired, assignments focused on student reflection might be especially illuminating. Ideally, the artifact assessed with this rubric will be produced by an individual student; however, this rubric may also be applied to group projects.

Glossary

### The following definitions clarify terms and concepts used in this rubric only.

**Analysis**: Detailed and careful examination in order to understand, explain, or critique. This process often involves breaking the subject matter into parts to better understand the whole. This rubric assesses the products of analysis rather than the process itself.

**Issue/Problem**: The issue or problem can take a variety of forms including social problems, mathematical calculations, textual analyses, laboratory experiments, personal experiences, historic events or figures, political issues, observations, philosophic debates, piece or body of art, current events, etc.

**Conclusion:** A synthesis of key findings drawn from research, evidence, and/or analysis.

**Evidence**: Source material that is used to extend, in purposeful ways, the student's ideas. Examples of evidence present in artifacts are mathematical calculations, assignment details provided by the instructor, independent research, primary or secondary texts, laboratory experiments, etc.

Information: Pre-existing knowledge, viewpoints, research, lecture material, problem provided by instructor, laboratory experiments, interviews, etc.

Innovative Thinking: Novelty of idea, claim, question, form, etc. Scorers only apply this rubric category when it is demonstrated in the artifact; otherwise, no score (as opposed to zero) is recorded.

Outside Sources: Any information beyond what the instructor provides within the assignment prompt or description.

**Sources**: Information (written, oral, behavioral, visual, observational, experimental, or other) that students draw on as they work for a variety of purposes—to extend, argue with, develop, define, or shape their ideas, for example.

Synthesis: The combination of separate things (information, ideas, formulas, sources, evidence, etc.) to produce a new, coherent whole.

This work is licensed under <u>CC BY-NC-SA 4.0</u>.





### Texas A&M University Core Curriculum

# **Critical Thinking Rubric**

	Advanced	7 Competent	5	Developing	3	Beginner	1	Not Present
	8	6		4		2		0
Explanation of	Issue/problem to be considered	Issue/problem to be considered		<i>sue/problem</i> to be considered		sue/problem to be considered		explanation or setup of
Issue/Problem	critically is stated clearly and	critically is stated, described, and		itically is stated, but		itically is stated without	iss	ue/problem.
	described comprehensively,	clarified, so understanding is not		escription/setup leaves some term	is d	escription, or setup is unclear.		
	delivering all relevant	seriously impeded by omissions.		ndefined, ambiguities unexplored,				
	information necessary for full			oundaries undetermined, and/or				
	understanding.		ba	ackgrounds unknown.				
Evidence	Information is taken from	Information is taken from	In	formation is taken from source(s)	In	formation is taken from	No	o <i>evidence</i> provided.
	<pre>source(s) with enough</pre>	source(s) with enough	w	ith some interpretation or	so	<i>purce(s)</i> without any		
	interpretation or evaluation to	interpretation or evaluation to	ev	aluation but not enough to	in	terpretation or evaluation. If		
	develop a comprehensive	develop a coherent analysis or	de	evelop a coherent analysis or	u	sed, <i>outside sources</i> may be		
	analysis or synthesis. If used,	synthesis. If used, outside	sy	nthesis. If used, outside sources	u	nrelated to or inappropriate for		
	outside sources' connections to	sources clearly relate to and are	m	ay not appear clearly related to o	· th	ie topic.		
	and appropriateness for the	appropriate for the topic.	ap	propriate for the topic.				
	topic are thoroughly explained.							
Analysis	Organizes and synthesizes	Organizes evidence to reveal	0	rganizes <i>evidence,</i> but the	Li	sts <i>evidence,</i> but it is not	No	o analysis provided.
	evidence to reveal insightful	important patterns, differences,	or	ganization is not effective in	0	rganized and/or is unrelated to		
	patterns, differences,	similarities, and/or solutions	re	vealing important patterns,	tł	e issue/problem.		
	similarities, and/or solutions	related to the issue/problem.	di	fferences, similarities, and/or				
	related to the issue/problem.		sc	lutions.				
Conclusion	States a conclusion that is a	States a conclusion focused	St	ates a simplistic or obvious	St	ates an ambiguous, illogical,	No	conclusion provided.
	logical extrapolation and	solely on the issue/problem.	СС	nclusion and/or a conclusion that	in	consistent, or unsupportable		
	reflects an informed evaluation	The conclusion arises	be	ecause it is so general, also applies		onclusion.		
	and ability to place evidence	specifically from and responds		eyond the scope of the				
	and perspectives discussed in	specifically to the	is	sue/problem.				
	priority order.	issue/problem.						
Innovative Thinking	Extends a novel idea, question,	Creates a novel idea, question,	E>	periments with creating a novel	R	eformulates a collection of		no innovative thinking is
(This category is only applied	format, or product to create	format, or product.	id	ea, question, format, or product.	a	vailable ideas.	pr	esent, no score is recorded.
when present.)	new knowledge or knowledge			-				
	that crosses boundaries.							

Italicized words appear in the glossary.



