

Texas A&M University Core Curriculum Empirical & Quantitative Skills Analytical Rubric

Definition

The Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board states that the Texas Core Curriculum objective of Empirical & Quantitative Skills is "to include the manipulation and analysis of numerical data or observable facts resulting in informed conclusions." Further, the American Association of Colleges & Universities (AAC&U) notes that quantitative literacy "is not just computation" and includes "a way of thinking about the world that relies on data and on the mathematical analysis of data to make connections and draw conclusions."

Framing Language

This rubric is designed to be applied to student-produced work (artifacts), from a range of disciplines and a variety of genres. Ideally, the artifact assessed with this rubric will be produced by an individual student; however, this rubric may also be applied to group projects.

Glossary

The following definitions clarify terms and concepts used in this rubric only.

Analysis: Detailed and careful examination in order to understand, explain, or critique. This process often involves breaking the subject matter into parts to better understand the whole. This rubric assesses the products of analysis rather than the process itself.

Conclusions: Synthesis of key findings drawn from research, evidence, and/or analysis.³

Methods: Theories/principles underlying design, subjects, instruments, data collection, and analyses. Scorers only apply this rubric category when the student generates their own data set; otherwise, no score (as opposed to zero) is recorded.

³ American Association of Colleges & Universities. (2009). *Inquiry and analysis VALUE rubric*. https://www.aacu.org/initiatives/value-initiative/value-rubrics/value-rubrics-inquiry-and-analysis



¹ 19 Tex. Admin. Code §4.28 (2021).

² American Association of Colleges & Universities. (2009). *Quantitative literacy VALUE rubric*. https://www.aacu.org/initiatives/value-initiative/value-rubrics-quantitative-literacy



Texas A&M University Core Curriculum

Empirical & Quantitative Skills Analytical Rubric

| | Advanced | _ Competent | | Developing | | Beginner | | Not Present |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|------|------------------------------|
| | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Presentation of | Synthesizes numerical | Connections between | Co | onnections between | Lir | mited or ineffectual | ı | No results presented. |
| Numerical Data/ | data/observable facts with the | numerical data/observable | ทเ | umerical | pr | esentation of sufficient | | |
| Observable Facts | specific problem/topic being | facts to the problem/topic | da | ata/observable facts to | da | ta/observable facts in order | | |
| | investigated. Results are | being investigated are explici | t th | e problem/topic being | to | make a connection to the | | |
| | presented in a concise and | and appropriate. Results are | in | vestigated may be | pr | oblem/topic. | | |
| | efficient manner | organized and demonstrate a | | nplicit. Results are | | | | |
| | demonstrating a deep | data-informed understanding | lo | osely organized and | | | | |
| | understanding of the | of the problem/topic. | de | emonstrate a simplistic | | | | |
| | problem/topic as a result of | | ur | nderstanding of the | | | | |
| | the data. | | pr | roblem/topic. | | | | |
| Analysis/Conclusions | Draws meaningful, | Draws appropriate, | Pr | resents independent | Pr | esents limited or weak | 1 | No attempt to draw |
| | independent conclusions | independent conclusions | co | onclusions based on | со | <i>nclusions</i> based on numerica | al c | conclusions. |
| | based on numerical | based on numerical | ทเ | umerical | da | ta/observable facts. | | |
| | data/observable facts. | data/observable facts. | | ata/observable facts. | | <i>onclusions</i> may include obvio | us | |
| | Conclusions demonstrate a | Conclusions demonstrate a | Co | onclusions demonstrate a | ju | dgements about the | | |
| | sophisticated understanding | sufficient understanding of | su | ırface-level | pr | oblem/topic rather than | | |
| | of the problem/topic. | the problem/topic. | ur | nderstanding of the | dr | awing independent conclusion | ons. | |
| | | | pr | oblem/topic. | | | | |
| Methods | Methods (theories/principles | Methods (design, subjects, | M | lethods (design, | | issing or loosely organized | 1 | No <i>methods</i> presented. |
| (This category is only applied | underlying design, subjects, | instruments, data collection, | su | ıbjects, instruments, | m | ethods used to describe | | |
| when the student generates | instruments, data collection, | and analyses) are organized | | ata collection, and | re | search design, subjects, | | |
| their own data set.) | and analyses) are formed from | and described with sufficient | ar | nalyses) are organized. | in | struments, data collection, | | |
| | a theoretical framework and | clarity. | | | an | nd analyses. | | |
| | are organized and described | | | | | | | |
| | with sufficient clarity. | | | | | | | |

Italicized words appear in the glossary.

